



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/022,364	12/20/2001	Francis J. Kub	N.C. 79,684	N.C. 79,684 3513 EXAMINER	
26384	7590 03/29/2004		EXAM		
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY			FOURSON III	FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS) CODE 1008.2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W.			2823	· ·	
WASHINGTON, DC 20375-5320			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/022,364	KUB ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit)			
	George Fourson	2823	The state of the s			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (foondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 March 2004</u>. Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 			orth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	i be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reconstruction in condition for allow 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be a size of the final rejection.	vance because: See Continuation	Sheet.				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or by vould be rejected is provided be	o)⊡ will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. Other:		George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2823				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/022,364

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: There is no description in the disclosure as originally filed of the proposed amendments to claim 1, parts a and b and claim 4. Applicant points to page 8 as providing support for the amendments. However the pointed to portion indicates that the stiffener layer is formed after implantation. The proposed amendment changing the dependency of claim 5 raises new issues because no claim of that scope was previously in the application. The proposed amendments to the specification and to claim 14 would be entered for purposes of appeal if submitted in a separately filed amendment. Applicant's response does not address the rejection of claims 1 and 15 under 35 USC 112, paragraph 2.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Henley is directed to cleaving while the instant invention is directed to transfer of a thin film. Applicant futher argues that Henley's disclosure of temporarily attaching to a plastic substrate would not suggest transfer to a flexible substrate as recited. However, in the process of Henley a thin film is transferred to a flexible substrate. It is not necessary for the reference to disclose that the process of the reference is performed to achieve the same goals as applicant or to obtain the same advantages recognized by applicant. It is sufficient that the process suggested by the reference alone or in combination with the remaining references is encompassed by the instant claims.